

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 32 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.R.DAVE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

DALWADI ODHAV BHAVANBHAI

Versus

DALWADI TAPUBHAI BHAVANBHAI

Appearance:

MR GAURANG H BHATT for Petitioner

MR SURESH M SHAH for Respondent No. 1, 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE A.R.DAVE

Date of decision: 09/12/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned advocate Mr Gaurang Bhatt appearing for the petitioner has submitted that this revision application has become infructuous for the reason that Regular Civil Suit No. 15 of 1990 filed by the petitioner-plaintiff in the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division), Sayala, District Surendranagar was decreed on 20th June, 1996.

He has submitted that the present revision application has been filed for challenging an order dated 19th December, 1990 passed in Civil Misc. Appeal No. 44/90 by the Joint District Judge, Surendranagar whereby an order passed below Exh. 5 in the above referred suit was set aside.

As stated hereinabove, the suit has already been decreed and, therefore, this revision application has become infructuous. This revision application is, therefore, dismissed. Rule is discharged.

Ad-interim relief granted earlier is vacated.

sundar./